

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/589,338	06/07/2000	John G. Rohrbaugh	10003687-1	8717
22878 7:	590 07/02/2003			
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			EXAMINER	
	INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION, LEGAL DEPT. P.O. BOX 7599		TORRES, JOSEPH D	
M/S DL429	CO 80537-0599	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
EO VEENINE,	00337-0377	•	2133	
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003	9
				/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/589,338	ROHRBAUGH ET AL	- -
·	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph D. Torres	2133	
The MAILING DATE f this communication appe	ars on the c ver sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 11 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply n places the applicat	to a ion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	-		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. IR 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Configinally set in the final Configuration	on. See MPEP priate extension priate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	·	,,	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	•	rially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	i.
NOTE: Changing sequence to vector changes th	e meaning and scope of the claims	}.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examin	ier.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen		•	
10. Other:		 ·	
		· .	
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Since the proposed claim amendments will not be entered, the arguments are mute.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100